



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,273 03/24/2004		03/24/2004	Shunpei Yamazaki	07977-106004	4114
26171	7590	07/30/2004	EXAMINER		INER
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 1425 K STREET, N.W.				NGUYEN, DUNG T	
11TH FLO	,	· ·		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	TON, DO	20005-3500	2871		
			DATE MAILED: 07/30/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	W
1	I^{n}
١.	,-
•	,

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/807,273	YAMAZAKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dung Nguyen	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 03 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ma	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 March 2004</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x <i>parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45	63 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 43-58 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>43-58</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	lrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>08/770,703</u> .					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Informal Page 1	atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/04, 4/04, 7/04</u> .	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/807,273

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' preliminary amendment dated 03/24/2004 has been received and entered. By the amendment, claims 43-58 are now pending in the application.

Specification

1. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 3. Claims 43-46 and 51-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Masato et al., JP 08-171082.

The above claims are anticipated by Masato et al. figures 4-6 which disclose a liquid crystal display (LCD) device comprising:

- . a first substrate (31) and a second substrate (32)
- . an amorphous silicon thin film transistor (TFT) (active element) formed over the first substrate;
- . a liquid crystal layer (between two substrates), wherein the liquid crystal is driven by applying an electric field in parallel with the substrate (see abstract).

Art Unit: 2871

. a transparent conductive (ITO) material (dummy electrode 33) formed over the second substrate.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 5. Claims 43-58 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 2-7, 9-14 and 16-21 of U.S. Patent No. 6,498,634. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the patent and the application disclose the same LCD device having an electric field in parallel to the first substrate as well as a transparent conductive material formed over (e.g., entire or portion) the opposing substrate (i.e., the second substrate).
- 6. Claims 43-58 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 9-13 and 15-20 of copending Application No. 10/305,226. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both applications

Application/Control Number: 10/807,273

Art Unit: 2871

disclose the same LCD device having an electric field in parallel to the first substrate as well as a transparent conductive material formed over (e.g., entire or portion) the opposing substrate (i.e., the second substrate).

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2297. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 571-272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DN 07/26/2004

Dung Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2871